EUROPE’S CREATIVE HUBS
Who they are, what they do

Summary report - 2015

coop-financed by

[Logos and names]
Executive Summary

This research – based on 100+ survey responses, 12 depth interviews, and analysis of nearly 200 other Hubs – provides the starting point for understanding Europe’s Creative Hubs. It sets out who they are, what they do, and what support they need. It shows:

- There are a huge variety of Creative Hubs, with a range of public and private funded organisations running Hubs. Around one-third receive no public funding. This diversity of business models makes it hard to provide effective support to the sector as a whole.

- To manage this diversity, we can break Hubs down into two groups: space-based Hubs (Studios or Centres) and place-based Hubs (Clusters or Networks).

- All Hubs aim to make a difference – to businesses, economies, and communities. Interviews identified evidence of this impact as a key research gap.

- To help reach their potential, Hub Managers need support to manage the range of challenges they face from advocacy to growth management. The proposed network is important in helping them to do this.

- But most of all, they are hungry for investment to help them develop new facilities and new services. EU funding programmes may not work for them.

- Hubs are optimistic and they feel their time has come. As recognition for the Creative Industries grows, so does the optimism of Hub Managers, who see themselves as drivers of this change.

HEADLINES

42% of our sample are non-profits. One quarter are government agencies.

Three quarters aim to support their local economies and the same to support their local communities.

22% of Hub Managers ask for management support to help them manage the range of skills needed to run their Hubs.

90% are optimistic about the future.

The major reason for pessimism is lack of funding.

Around half have applied for EU Funds, but few have won them.
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Introduction

The argument for the value of culture and creative industries in Europe has largely been won – they help create societies that are worth living in, and they are central to any successful, prosperous economy.

So the big question for government or those working in the sector is no longer why should we invest, but how should we invest. And, therefore, they need new evidence to help make these new decisions.

One gap in the research is around the Creative Hub, an elusive organisation defined by us as an “infrastructure or venue that uses a part of its leasable or available space for networking, organisational and business development within the cultural and creative industries sectors.”*

This research – based on 100+ survey responses, 12 depth interviews, and analysis of nearly 200 other Hubs – aims to provide a starting point for this debate by providing first indications of who these Hubs are, what they do, and the support that they need.

We hope these findings provides a base from which further research can be commissioned, and which will ultimately improve the support offered to Creative Hubs, and so the contribution that the cultural and creative industries make, across Europe.

*See creativehubs.org/en/creative-hubs-project/what-is-a-creative-hub
Our sample

The 300 Hubs we studied came from 24 of the 28 EU nations.

The sample is fairly well balanced at an EU level. The 100 Hubs who responded to the survey were representative of High and Low Creative Intensity countries.

But it’s neither a precise mapping or perfect sample. We had more Hubs from the UK, Portugal & Netherlands than expected – representing the contacts of the organisations who sponsored the study.

The survey sample (where many of the findings are drawn from) is particularly over representative of these groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries with High Creative Intensity</th>
<th>EU pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hubs in study</td>
<td>% of total*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>164%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See The European Cluster Observatory (2011) Priority Sector Report: Creative and Cultural Industries
Using the data, we identified four distinct types of Creative Hub

**HUBS BASED AROUND SPACES**

**STUDIO**
Smaller, niche creative spaces, like Coworking Salzburg in Austria.
18% of our sample. We defined these as physical spaces supporting fewer than 20 businesses.

**CENTRES**
Larger, physical spaces. Like Strijp-S in the Netherlands.
47% of our sample. Physical spaces supporting more than 20 businesses.

On average, these have:
- **20 Businesses**
- **EUR 210k Turnover**
- **3.5 FTE staff**

**CLUSTERS**
Halfway between a Factory and a Network. These include both big networks and physical spaces, like Brighton Fuse in the UK.
16% of our sample. Physical spaces but also a large network

On average, these have:
- **40 Businesses**
- **EUR 120K Turnover**
- **9 FTE staff**

**NETWORKS**
These Hubs don’t run physical spaces (although they may use them) but they are often based on a geographical area, like Portugal’s ADDICT.
19% of our sample. These have no physical space.
Hubs feel they make a difference in three main ways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1 Helping businesses to connect</th>
<th>#2 Supporting the local creative economy</th>
<th>#3 Supporting the local community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“We help freelancers &amp; location independent workers be more socially connected, productive and happy”</td>
<td>“Bringing artists &amp; residents together – supporting the areas creative environment whilst promoting it as vibrant, creative, socially engaged and welcoming.”</td>
<td>“We invest part of our income from rent into making the building into art-work and into creative projects in neighbourhoods”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% of Hubs said they did this  
76% of Hubs said they did this  
74% of Hubs said they did this

... But interviews with Hub Managers suggested few have robust evidence of their own impact
Hubs have a wide range of funding models

1 in 3 receive no public funding. On average, Places Hubs received more than Spaces Hubs. Non-profits are the largest group.

### Organisation type

- A non-profit (e.g. Community): 42%
- Part of government: 27%
- A business (i.e. Profit making): 24%
- Part of a University: 7%

### Source of funding

- **SPACES**
  - Earned Income: 46%
  - Public Funds: 54%

- **PLACES**
  - Earned Income: 67%
  - Public Funds: 33%

Base: 94; 94; 44; 40
Hubs ask for funding and resources, but also management support

As % of total requests for support

- Funding or resources: 42%
- Management support: 22%
- Support for businesses: 14%
- International networking: 14%

Our interviews suggest Hubs are run by passionate, committed and entrepreneurial individuals.

But many recognised gaps in their expertise and lacked resources to address them (overleaf).

The British Council are developing a HubKit for Hub Managers, from Spring 2015

Base: 94
Hub Managers asked for management support in three main areas

“Help me with Hub management”
- Growth management to support scaling up, or in reaching new markets.
- Bureaucratic or governance issues to support relationship with funders or government.
- Business model or cash flow issues, such as operating without subsidy, maximising economic return.
- Establishing a collective vision.

“Help me improve the services I offer”
- Delivering an effective programme of activity.
- Effective collaboration with local universities.
- Best practice for my situation, such as creating a rural hub.
- Enabling cross innovation.
- Meeting partners and collaborators.
- Market research to understand the context that they work in.

“Help me with advocacy or communications”
- Marketing and branding.
- Advocacy to promote the creative and digital sector, or national lobbying for the creative industries.
- Changing the mindset of entrepreneurs in the area to understand the value of these support services.

Internationalisation was a cross-cutting theme, mentioned in all three categories
Hubs feel their “time has come”

90% of Hubs are optimistic about the future, for three main reasons:

1. Enthusiasm & support of members

2. Growing recognition of the Creative Industries and of...

3. Growing recognition of Hubs’ role in the local & national economic context
...there is one major reason for pessimism, which we heard often

“I don’t have any money”

All pessimistic Hubs report funding as a reason for gloom
... managers want these funds as an investment in their work, not just to cover costs

If you had more funding, what would you do?

“We would invest in better salaries, on operating costs, better security, accommodations for guests, as well as more lecturers.”

“We would invest in better equipment, better training, and also bring people from abroad to provide a different insight.”

“Funded business residencies to encourage more small businesses to engage with the University in a meaningful way through buying out some of their time. We are in a developing economy and we need to incentivize investment in research and development.”

“We would invest in better equipment, better training, and also bring people from abroad to provide a different insight.”

Source: Interviews
Money is an issue yet few have won the EU funding that is directed at the cultural and creative sectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creative Europe</th>
<th>Applied for funds from</th>
<th>... and who’ve won funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ERDF            | 23%                    | 13%                        |

Interviews suggested the funds were not suitable for many - but more research is needed here.
Conclusions

1. There are a huge variety of Creative Hubs. Future research should try to target subgroups of Hubs, as they have different operating and funding models and will require different support.

2. One common thread is that all Creative Hubs aim to make a difference – to businesses, economies, and communities. Interviews identified evidence of impact as a research gap, however.

3. To help reach their potential, Hub Managers need support to manage the range of challenges they face. The proposed network is important in helping them to do this.

4. They are also hungry for investment. But EU funding programmes may not yet work for them.

5. Hubs are optimistic - they feel their time has come.
Availability of data

The data used in the report is open and available for researchers to use at:

ecbnetwork.eu/europes-creative-hubs-mapping

Send comments and questions about the research to:

callum@bop.co.uk
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PROJECT PARTNERS

The European Creative Business Network

www.ecbnetwork.eu

ECBN is a network of cultural and creative industries development agencies. We represent our 20 board members and help them to:

• Learn and inspire each other
• Campaign for the sector in Brussels
• Find new funding working with trusted partners

We were founded in 2011 and are a non-profit Foundation, based in the Netherlands.

British Council

creativeeconomy.britishcouncil.org

The British Council is UK’s international organisation for educational opportunities and cultural relations. The Council builds trust and understanding through activities in English, in education, and through the arts.

In addition to developing partnerships and projects across each major art form, the British Council has a bespoke programme focussing on the Creative Economy. We aim to strengthen the links between global and UK creative business, networks, policy makers and entrepreneurs: promoting international connections; exchanging skills, innovations and best practice; and enhancing opportunities for artists, creative businesses and entrepreneurs to reach broader markets.
ADDICT - Creative Industries, Portugal

addict.pt

Created in 2008, currently gathers around diverse 100 members spanning the whole spectrum of the culture and creative sector and it is recognised by the Ministry for Economy as the coordinator of the creative industries cluster in Portugal. ADDICT’s mission is to foster a favourable environment for the creative economy to thrive, promoting capacity building and internationalsisation, advocating for adequate public policy and acting as a networking and knowledge platform.

In the period 2009-2013, the North region invested in the creation of infrastructures and events to ensure adequate conditions for hosting, producing and presenting cultural and creative products and services.

Since 2013, ADDICT has been promoting the informal encounter and debate of the sector’s main support venues. This group intends to be a space for exchange of experiences and shared discussion on these creative centres’ role in the sector’s and the territory development, in view of establishing partnerships for future collaborations and joint action at regional, national and international level.